

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

SECURITIES INVESTOR PROTECTION  
CORPORATION,

Plaintiff,

v.

BERNARD L. MADOFF INVESTMENT  
SECURITIES LLC,

Defendant.

In re:

BERNARD L. MADOFF,

Debtor.

Adv. Pro. No. 08-01789 (CGM)

SIPA Liquidation

(Substantively Consolidated)

**APPLICATION OF VELITOR LAW AS SPECIAL COUNSEL TO THE TRUSTEE FOR  
ALLOWANCE OF INTERIM COMPENSATION FOR SERVICES RENDERED FROM  
DECEMBER 1, 2021 THROUGH JULY 31, 2022**

Seamus Andrew, together with other members and associates at the law firm of Velitor Law (“Velitor”), special counsel for Irving H. Picard (the “Trustee”), trustee for the liquidation of the business of Bernard L. Madoff Investment Securities LLC (“BLMIS” or “Debtor”) under the Securities Investor Protection Act of 1970, 15 U.S.C. §§ 78aaa *et seq.* (“SIPA”), and Bernard L. Madoff, submits this Application for interim compensation of legal fees in the amount of \$2,349.00 (of which 20% is to be deferred until the conclusion of the liquidation period) for the period from December 1, 2021 through July 31, 2022 (the “Compensation Period”). In support of the Application, Velitor respectfully submits as follows:

**I. BACKGROUND**

1. On December 15, 2008, Judge Stanton of the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York, upon application filed on the same date by the Securities

Investor Protection Corporation (“SIPC”), entered an order calling for the liquidation of BLMIS in accordance with SIPA and appointing the Trustee as BLMIS’s trustee.

2. BLMIS’s liquidation proceeding was removed to this Court pursuant to SIPA § 78eee(b)(4), and, pursuant to SIPA § 78fff(b), is being conducted as though it were a case under chapters 1, 3, and 5 and subchapters I and II of chapter 7 of title 11 of the United States Code.

3. On February 25, 2021, this Court entered an order approving the Trustee’s motion to retain Velitor as special counsel to the Trustee in all matters pertaining to British Virgin Islands (“BVI”) law.

4. The Trustee’s motion to retain Velitor established a fee arrangement pursuant to which Velitor agreed to a fee reduction in the amount of 10% as well as an additional holdback of fees in the amount of 20% (“Holdback”).

## **II. SERVICES RENDERED BY VELITOR**

5. The legal services performed by Velitor on behalf of the Trustee during the time period covered by this Application are as follows:

6. Velitor advised the Trustee regarding various legal issues in the BVI.

## **III. COMPENSATION REQUESTED**

7. The Application demonstrates how Velitor has both added value to BLMIS’s estate and advanced BLMIS’s liquidation proceeding.

8. Velitor has been mindful of the need to avoid undue legal fees in this case and has taken all reasonable steps to provide cost-effective representation while rendering services with the highest degree of skill and professionalism. To that end, Velitor endeavored to eliminate duplication of efforts by giving responsibility for the day-to-day conduct of the case to two attorneys and involving other attorneys only when necessary.

9. From December 1, 2021 through July 31, 2022, Velitor provided a total of 8.00 hours of legal services to the Trustee in this case. Prior to the 10% discount, the total amount of fees incurred in this time period was \$2,610.00 and the total blended rate for professional services was \$326.25/hour. After the 10% discount, the total amount of fees incurred is \$2,349.00 and the total blended rate is \$293.63/hour. Velitor has agreed to a further holdback of 20% of its fees in the amount of \$469.80 resulting in the present request for compensation in the amount of \$1,879.20.

10. A breakdown of the total number of hours performed by each Velitor timekeeper is provided in **Exhibit A** attached hereto.

#### **IV. GENERAL MATTERS**

11. All of the professional services for which compensation is requested herein were performed by Velitor for and on behalf of the Trustee, and not on behalf of any other person or entity.

12. No agreement or understanding exists between Velitor and any other person for sharing compensation received in connection with this case, nor has any other person or entity agreed to provide Velitor with compensation for the legal services described herein.

13. SIPA § 78eee(b)(5)(A) provides in pertinent part that, upon appropriate application and after a hearing, “[t]he court shall grant reasonable compensation for services rendered and reimbursement for proper costs and expenses incurred . . . by a trustee, and by the attorney for such a trustee.” SIPA § 78eee(b)(5)(C) specifically establishes SIPC’s role in connection with applications for compensation and the consideration the Court should give to SIPC’s recommendation concerning fees, providing as follows:

In any case in which such allowances are to be paid by SIPC without reasonable expectation of recoupment thereof as provided in this chapter and there is no difference between the amounts requested and the amounts recommended by

SIPC, the court shall award the amounts recommended by SIPC. In determining the amount of allowances in all other cases, the court shall give due consideration to the nature, extent, and value of the services rendered, and shall place considerable reliance on the recommendation of SIPC.

SIPA § 78eee(b)(5)(C).

14. To the extent the general estate is insufficient to pay such allowances as an expense of administration, SIPA § 78eee(b)(5)(E) requires SIPC to advance the funds necessary to pay the compensation of Velitor. *See* SIPA § 78fff-3(b)(2).

15. At this time, the Trustee has determined that he has no reasonable expectation that the general estate will be sufficient to make a distribution to general creditors or pay administrative expenses. SIPC has advised the Trustee that it concurs with this determination. Accordingly, any fees and expenses allowed by this Court will be paid from advances by SIPC without any reasonable expectation by SIPC of recoupment thereof.

16. Therefore, with respect to this Application, Velitor requests that, consistent with SIPA § 78eee(b)(5)(C), the Court “shall award the amounts recommended by SIPC.” *See McGraw v. Betz (In re Bell & Beckwith)*, 112 B.R. 876 (Bankr. N.D. Ohio 1990). Velitor expects that SIPC’s recommendation in support of the relief sought by this Application will be filed with this Court separately.

**WHEREFORE**, Velitor respectfully requests that this Court enter an Order:

- a. Granting this Application; and
- b. Allowing and awarding \$2,349.00, of which \$1,879.20 is to be paid currently and \$469.80, is to be held back through the conclusion of the liquidation period or until further order of the Court, as an interim payment for professional services rendered by Velitor to the Trustee from December 1, 2021 through July 31, 2022; and

c. Granting Velitor such other and further relief as this Court deems just and proper.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: October 31, 2022

VELITOR LAW

By: /s/ Seamus Andrew

Seamus Andrew

Central Court

25 Southampton Building

London, WC2A 1AP

United Kingdom

Telephone : +44(0)2081768120

**EXHIBIT A**

**SUMMARY OF INTERIM FEE APPLICATION  
OF VELTOR FOR SERVICES RENDERED  
FOR THE PERIOD DECEMBER 1, 2021 THROUGH JULY 31, 2022**

<b>NAME</b>	<b>HOURLY RATE</b>	<b>TOTAL HOURS BILLED</b>	<b>TOTAL COMPENSATION</b>
Seamus Andrew	\$790.00	1.00	\$790.00
Siavash Abbasi	\$260.00	7.00	\$1,820.00
Total	\$326.25	8.00	\$2,610.00
Total Minus 10% Discount	\$293.63		\$2,349.00
<b>Total Net 20% Holdback</b>			<b>\$1,879.20</b>